UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/518,138                                   | 10/24/2005  | Johan Fobe           | 758.1303USW1        | 5302             |
| 23552 7590 02/14/2008<br>MERCHANT & GOULD PC |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. BOX 2903                                | }           |                      | PHAM, MINH CHAU THI |                  |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903                   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1797                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 02/14/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.                    | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence   | 10/518,138                         | FOBE ET AL.                  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner                           | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | MINH-CHAU T. PHAM                  | 1797                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address        |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.         |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar   |                                    | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-38 and 42-51</u> is/are pending in the  | application.                       |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-38 and 42-51</u> is/are rejected.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.              |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| ·— ·— ·—   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| dee the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)  4) Unitorious Summers (RTO 412)  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application   |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/17/05</u> . 6)  Other:  |                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |

## Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 19, line 8, "Fig. 18" should be – Fig. 9 – because there is no Fig. 18 in this application. A typo mistake? Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 24-38 and 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clements et al (6,103,119), in view of either the German Patent Offenlegungsschrift (DE 10005004 A1) or Kasten (2,919,765).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Clements et al disclose a filter cartridge (30) having a filter element (15) with inner support (19b) and outer support (19a) and end cap (21, 23) (see Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 57-61). Claims 24-38 and 42-51 differ from the disclosure of Clements et al in that there is a liner/valve construction positioned upstream of the filter media. The German reference discloses a support structure (4) positioned upstream of the filtering means (11) having undercut (see 14 in Fig. 4) or a slit value with an angle of at least 1 degree (see 14 in Fig. 5) and each cut being a U-shaped cut (see 14 in Fig. 4) or a circumferentially directed U-shaped cut (see 14 in Fig. 4). Kasten discloses a filter assembly having a support structure (14) positioned upstream of the filter media with a liner/valve construction having an undercut (20) which is protruding and circular (see 20 in Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 27-54). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt the liner/valve of either the German reference or Kasten in the filter assembly of Clements et al since the valve means makes it possible to cross flow the filtering means from the outside to the inside and impedes or prevents the filtering means from being cross flown from the inside to the outside.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-CHAU T. PHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Minh-Chau T. Pham/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797